Enliven: International Journal of Advances in Civil Engineering

Prevention and Repair of End-Region Cracks in Prestressed Concrete Girders: A State-of-the-Art Review

Lee, Y.S^{1*}, Soltani M¹, Schweigert K², Maharjan S³

¹ Bradley University, 1501 W.Bradley Ave., Peoria, IL., 61625, USA.
² Morton Buildings, 252 W. Adams St., Morton, IL., 61550, USA.
³ Hutchison Engineering, Inc., 2015 West Glen Ave., Peoria, IL., 61614, USA.

*Corresponding Author: Yoon-Si Lee, Bradley University, 1501 W. Bradley Ave., Peoria, IL., 61625, USA, E-mail: ylee2@fsmail.bradley.edu

Received Date: 07th July 2019 Accepted Date: 20th August 2019 Published Date: 23rd August 2019 **Citation:** Lee, Y.S, Soltani M,Schweigert K, Maharjan S. Prevention and Repair of End-Region Cracks in Prestressed Concrete Girders: A State-of-the-Art Review. Enliven: Int J Adv Civil Eng. 2019; 2(2):1-8.

Copyright: Yoon-Si Lee[@]2019. This is an Open Access article published and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

In recent decades, prestressed concrete girders have primarily been used in bridges worldwide. One of the common issues frequently observed in these prestressed concrete girders the end-region cracking due to the application of prestressing forces. Numerous repair and prevention techniques have been proposed and investigated in this field, including the application of mortar, fiber-reinforced polymers wrapping, epoxy injection, post-tensioning, and strand debonding. This study seeks to elucidate a methodical review on the current body of knowledge discussing the methods to repair and retrofit, and prevent the formation and propagation of end-region cracks in prestressed concrete girders.

Keywords: Prestressed Concrete; End-Region Crack; Fiber Reinforced Polymers; Epoxy Injection; Post-Tensioning; Strand Debonding.

Introduction

Problem Statement

According the 2017 Report Card [1] published by the American Society of Civil Engineers, the overall national grades for the U.S. infrastructure and for "Bridges" category are at a "D+" and a "C+", respectively. Approximately forty percent of the U.S. bridges are fifty years or older and nine percent of the bridges are reported "structurally deficient "or are in need of repair or replacement due to their "functional obsolescence" status. It was recently estimated that \$123-billion (US dollars) is needed to rehabilitate the country's bridge backlog. Furthermore, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) estimates that more than thirty percent of existing bridges have already exceeded their service life (fifty years mostly) and will require more budgets for the maintenance and rehabilitation of these bridges in the near future [2]. As these bridges continue to deteriorate, the problems become further compounded by increases in legal load limits, increasing traffic volumes, limited budgets, and substantial costs associated with proper maintenance, rehabilitation or replacement of these deficient bridges. One of the key types of damage contributing to the need of bridge repair is the end-region cracking in girders, where very little confinement exists. In recent years, these cracks have become prevalent with the use of relatively high strength concrete, deep girders, and high prestressing force that are quickly developed near the end-region of girders (Figure 1). Bridge engineers and

Enliven Archive | www.enlivenarchive.org

owners have spent considerable time and effort researching viable, cost effective solutions to improve the condition, durability, and capacity of bridges and, thus, reduce overall life-cycle costs. There have been numerous girder end repair techniques proposed by researchers such as the application of mortar, an epoxy injection, the use of FRP strips, FRP fabrics, near-surface mounted FRP, prestressed FRP, post-tensioned FRP, and strand slicing and external post-tensioning. Since not all repair methods are applicable in every situation, each structural repair scenario should be assessed independently to determine which repair approach is best suited to the unique conditions of a specific project. The main goal of this study is to provide a categorized list of investigations conducted for different techniques and discuss their attributes. This paper presents a comprehensive, methodical review on various repair, retrofit, and prevention techniques to address the end-region cracking of PC girders, comprised of proposals from 1982 to 2019 (over thirty-five years of research).(Figure1)

Background

For many years, prestressed concrete (hereafter referred to as "PC") girders have been an economical and high performance alternative in bridge construction. The combination of controlled in-plant fabrication leading to enhanced "day 1" products along with design procedures that prevent concrete cracking under service loads through prestressing force has led to efficient, durable, and generally low maintenance structures.

Figure 1. End-region cracks of a prestressed girder [3].



While concrete is generally considered a durable material, it can be subjected to deterioration caused by detailing deficiencies, inadequate mix design, environmental effects, and corrosion of the embedded reinforcement. Some examples of the material-related problems would be improper aggregate type and sizing, calcium chloride, and alkali-aggregate interactions. Environmental effects, including expansion and contraction due to absorption of moisture followed by a freeze–thaw cycle, may cause the concrete to crack and may lead to damage or failure [4-6]. Once cracked, if not properly repaired or enclosed in concrete end diaphragms, end-region deterioration of PC girders can be exacerbated when the expansion joint at the deck level fails and provides direct paths for water with deicing salt to penetrate into the girder [7].

When a concrete girder is exposed to deicing salts, chloride ions will penetrate into the concrete, ultimately reaching the reinforcing steel, and accumulate beyond the widely accepted concentration level needed to initiate corrosion. This leads to altering the system's protective passivity, and eventually corroding the reinforcing steel and prestressing strands. Corrosion of this type can cause cracking and spalling of the concrete due to the formation of voluminous corrosion products that are up to six times the volume of the original reinforcing steel [8]. It has been widely known that corrosion of reinforcing steel and prestressing strands at the end of the girder is one of the most destructive deterioration mechanisms for PC bridges in the U.S. [9]. Concrete surface deterioration can be caused by adverse chemical reactions from airborne compounds, such as carbon dioxide [10]. A girder end that is partially fixed by a frozen bearing may induce additional stress at the girder end. This may result in tension or shear cracks when the built-up stress is relieved [11]. Although the problems associated with cracks in end-region of PC girders have been known for some time, there is little understanding in practice as to how the end region cracks impact on the strength and durability of the girders. General concerns shared among the bridge engineering communities is that there is a possibility of having decreased structural capacity and future durability issues from prestressing strand and reinforcing bar corrosion, especially when cracks are severe enough that the bars become exposed. Some other causes of cracking include construction sequence, time-dependent effects (e.g., differential shrinkage between girder and deck concrete), temperature distribution, and stress increase resulting from continuity details. Cracking within the anchorage zone of prestressed strands is particularly concerning as these cracks can potentially lead to reduction of the effective prestressing force that can eventually reduce the shear and, to a lesser extent, the flexural capacities of a girder. Slipping of prestressed strands at the crack location may also cause reduction of the effective prestressing forces that are transferred to the end-region of girders. Strands may slip due to the lack of effective anchorage. This mechanism reduces pre-compression effects and may cause a girder to possess insufficient resistance to shear forces in the end-region of prestressed girders.

End-Region Cracks Mechanism and Effects

Before developing or selecting repair/strengthening techniques for the cracked end-region of PC girders, it is important to first understand the cracking mechanics. The longitudinal cracking in concrete at the end-region of a member can lead to detachment of concrete pieces exposing the reinforcement [12]. There are various causes leading to the formation of these cracks. One of them is the local climate in which the PC member is used. In areas that regularly experience substantial swings in temperature from below to above freezing, the freeze-thaw cycles may lead to the accelerated deterioration of PC girders [13]. This is a major concern, especially in the Northeast and the Midwest regions in the US. If the effect of the freeze-thaw cycle is combined with the expansion joints failure, it would then lead to scaling and spalling of the concrete that protects the steel reinforcement [13]. In addition, the transfer of stresses from the prestressed strands to the concrete can cause a complex state of stress including vertical bursting stresses at the end-region of PC girders. When these stresses are higher than the tensile strength of concrete, the end-region cracks would occur [12, 14]. When prestressed strands are released either by flame cutting or hydraulic jack down, compressive forces from the strands are transferred to the girder through the transfer length. Due to this compressive force, the girder is subjected to axial shortening followed by upward cambering. The resisting force against the elastic shortening is developed in uncut strands that may cause vertical cracks, especially in the web or junction of the web and the bottom flange. Hasenkamp et al. [15] summarized the governing factors for the end zone cracking such as method of detensioning, strand release, length of free strand, lifting of precast member from the bed, and type of strands used. Typically, PC girders with greater number of draped strands are likely to be more prone to end-region cracks than the ones with fewer

or no draped strands. This is because draped strands have the tendency to amplify the prestressing force at the top of the web and the bottom flange. It is reported that the compressive force applied through a manual flame cutting (dynamic) during detensioning appears to cause a bit sudden force transfer while a slow hydraulic detensioning (static) allows for a member to absorb the compressive force and prevent uneven distribution of the forces [16]. Similarly, the shorter the transfer length, more abrupt prestress transfer is expected resulting in higher vertical stresses at the end region.

Release of the top strands prior to the bottom strands can cause tension at the bottom flange. The frictional force between the bottom flange and the precast bed due to this tension force coupled with the resisting force developed in the bottom uncut straight strands can lead to vertical cracks on the sides of the bottom flange, which could extend up to the junction of the web and the bottom flange [17, 18]. If the strands are cut from outside face towards inside of the flange, a formation of horizontal cracks may occur. This is because the outer ends of the flange during the release will be in compression while the interior remains in tension, resulting in large shear stresses in the flange. The tensile force produced in the uncut strands resists the compression force and lengthens the strands as well. Although this lengthening of the strands would accommodate the elastic shortening of the member to a certain degree, it can cause formation of vertical cracks at the ends. This can be checked by providing minimum free strand length between the abutment and a PC member or between the adjacent members in the prestressing bed [17]. The initial lifting of the precast member from its bed is known to contribute in diagonal cracking at the upper ends of the web. The lifting points, placed generally around the camber location, are subjected to negative moments due to both the prestress force and self-weight [15, 19]. The increasing use of high strength concrete has allowed the use of strands with larger diameter. Researchers investigated the effect of larger strands in PC girders, especially in the crack prone region, and reported that the members with larger strands are prone to more cracks and higher tensile stresses when compared to the members with smaller strand sizes. For example, the splitting force in a typical I-section with 0.6-in. (15.24 mm) prestressing strands was higher than that with 0.5-in. (12.7 mm) strands. Similarly, for a PCBT-77 section, 0.6-in. (15.24 mm)

strands resulted in causing more tension force by 19% than 0.5-in. (12.7 mm) strands [19, 20]. Due to non-homogeneous nature of concrete, other common factors such as shrinkage and poor consolidation, local stress concentration or discontinuities at rebars or strands may cause formation of vertical cracks as well [18]. Another hazardous type of cracks in the PC girder is a Y-shaped patterned crack, which is often observed in the junction of the bottom flange and the web, as it can trace through the bottom flange (Figure 2). This type of crack is usually governed by strand distribution or the eccentricity of the strands in the bottom flange [21] (Figure2).

The cracks that are formed in the end-region of a PC girder can act as pathways for chlorides to reach into the girder and eventually to the steel reinforcement. This may lead to reinforcement corrosion that can cause even further cracking in PC members [13]. When there is a severe cracking in PC girders, the bond between the concrete and reinforcement is weakened. This reduced bond is one of the primary reasons for the strength loss in the girder [14]. Regardless of the climate issue, the complex state of stress and the severity of end-region cracking can be mitigated at the designing stage by optimizing the use of reinforcement, concrete strength, level of prestressing force, and the depth of a girder [12].

Repair, Retrofit and Provention of End-Region Cracks

Table 1 summarizes some of the methods that can be used for repair, retrofit, and prevention of formation and propagation of cracks in the end-region of PC girders. Some of these techniques are described subsequently.

Application of Mortar

One of the simplest ways to repair and retrofit the end-region cracking is to apply mortar to fill the cracks. Although the application of this method would cover the cracks, the mortar itself would not restore the strength loss of a cracked PC girder. However, the mortar application helps prevent further deterioration or corrosion caused by chlorides penetrating through the cracks [13, 23-25]. Overall, the application of mortar to the end-region





Approach	Methods	References (author(s) date)
Repair and retrofit	Application of mortar	Schupack and Suarez 1982 [23]; Nutzel et al. 1989 [24]; Garlock et al. 2012 [25]; Andrawes et al. 2018 [13];
	Fiber-reinforced polymers	Bassetti et al. 2000 [26]; Dolan et al. 2000 [27]; Kim et al. 2008 [28]; Ary and Kang 2012 [29]; ElSafty and Graeff 2012 [30]; Harik and Peiris 2013 [31]; Choo et al. 2013 [32]; Mohanamurthy and Yazdani 2015 [33]; Abdel-Mohti and Shen 2016 [34]; Shaw and Andrawes 2017 [35]; Belarbi et al. 2018 [36]; Frigione and Lettieri 2018 [37]; Peiris, Sun, and Harik 2018 [38]
	Epoxy injection tubes	Tadros et al. 2010 [12]; Ramseyer and Kang 2012 [39]; Shaw and Andrawes 2017 [35]
	Post-tensioning	Pantelides et al. 2010 [40]; Burningham et al. 2011 [41]; Willis 2014 [7]
Prevention	Coating Treatment of PC Beam Ends	Stanish et al. 2001 [42]; Hosteng et al. 2015 [43]
	Strand debonding	Abdalla et al. 1993 [44]; Hastak et al. 2003 [45]; Sousa et al. 2011 [46]; Burgueño and Sun 2011 [14]; Okumus and Oliva 2013 [21]; Ross et al. 2014 [22]; Naji and Ross 2017 [47]

cracks is not a robust repair method. It is rather considered as a temporary preventive measure against corrosion.

Fiber-Reinforced Polymers

An alternative repair method for the end-region cracks is to retrofit the cracked area with Fiber-Reinforced Polymers (FRP). FRP is a relatively new class of composite material and has gained increasing popularity among the bridge engineering community due to its favorable mechanical characteristics and non-corrosive nature. Its high strength-to-weight ratio is of true advantage since its dead load contribution is minimal, allowing a member strengthened with FRP to support larger live loads [48, 49]. Some composites (e.g., carbon FRP or CFRP) have approximately ten times higher tensile strength capacity than conventional steel and its strength is governed by its ability to sustain a load without excessive deformation or failure [50]. In addition, its non-corrosive characteristics would eliminate the maintenance problems caused by moisture and chlorides. FRP applied to the exterior side of a girder can act as a crack controller and would partially restore the shear strength in the girder [29]. Not only FRP increases the shear capacity of damaged PC girders, but also it would, if painted properly, improve the aesthetics of the structure and protect the reinforcement from corrosion [34]. Despite the high initial expense of FRPs, they are, if properly implemented and maintained, considered as a cost-effective long-term solution to avoid the need of having to frequently repair the end-region cracks of PC girders. Effectiveness of the FRP application would largely depend on factors such as (1) shear-span-to-depth ratio and (2) configuration of the FRP.

As the shear span-to-depth-ratio increases, the shear capacity of the girder increases. For example, if two girders have the same amount of transversal reinforcement and FRP application, the increase in the shear capacity of one girder with a shearspan-to-depth ratio of four would be approximately twice of the girder with the ratio of three [29]. Transversal reinforcement itself is another factor that can impact the effectiveness of the FRP. Previous research has shown that in the presence of less transversal reinforcement, the FRP is more effective. This is because of the stiffness inconsistency between the transversal reinforcement and FRP [29,35].

Figure 3 shows four different configurations of FRP wraps. One of the most effective ways for increasing the failure load would be to fully wrap the girder with CFRP. When using this method, the capacity can be increased from 25% to 40% compared to the damaged girder [30, 33, 35]. The failure mechanism of the girders depends on the configuration of wrapping. When

strips of FRP are used, the failure mode is de bonding; whereas, through the application of continuous U-wrapping, the failure mode is the splitting of concrete [29,37]. The configuration of the FRP on PC girders also plays a role in the translations of forces at the fixed ends. A study by Choo et al. [32] monitored translations of the girder ends and showed that when the FRP was applied horizontally to the girder, the horizontal translation of the girder decreased. However, the vertical wrapping of FRP did not have any impact on the vertical translation of the girder 3).

Ramseyer and Kang [39] investigated the effectiveness of current and possible repair techniques for the end-region of damaged AASHTO prestressed concrete girders. The authors used FRP wraps and near surface mounted rods in repairing and strengthening damaged AASHTO girders. Full-scale Type II AASHTO girders were prepared and tested before and after the girders were repaired. The test results indicated that the application of CFRP provided the greatest amount of stiffness recovery while the highest percentage of overall strength recovery was obtained by using glass FRP (GFRP). When numerous researches have shown that the application of FRP is an effective way to repair the damaged ends of girders, one of the issues that have been noted through research and observation is that the FRP may be un bonded to the concrete over time, leading to delamination around the edges of the application area. This delamination, however, is insignificant to overall effects of the structural integrity in PC girders [32]. Accessibility to the damaged regions is another challenge for retrofitting PC girders using FRP since fully wrapping a girder may not be possible if a concrete deck is placed on top of the girder [31,38].

Epoxy Injection

One of the common crack repair methods used by engineers in multiple states and precast/prestressed fabricators for repairing end-region cracking is an epoxy injection method. Detailed information on the procedure and steps used in crack repair using the epoxy injection can be found in The PCI Manual for the Evaluation and Repair of Precast, Prestressed Concrete Bridge Products [51]. In recent studies by Shaw and Andrawes [35] and by Ramseyer and Kang [39], researchers investigated the effectiveness of injecting epoxy and applying FRP to the cracked region. In this type of application, epoxy is inserted into the cracks before FRP is installed to the damaged region. Test results indicated that the damaged girders repaired with both the epoxy and GFRP gained greater shear and flexural strengths than the members repaired only with GFRP; 85% of its initial shear strength was restored when the girder was repaired only with GFRP while the shear strength of the damaged member repaired with both epoxy and GFRP was over 100% of its initial strength [39]. It was also found that the injection of epoxy improved overall stiffness and stability of the girder ends. In comparison between CFRP and GFRP, CFRP appeared not as effective as GFRP when they are used with epoxy. Tadros et al. [12] reported that the tensile capacity of the damaged girder was not fully restored when it was repaired only with the epoxy injected into the cracks, which is an indication that the use of epoxy alone is not as effective as the application of both epoxy and FRP. One of the disadvantages of using epoxy alone over using both epoxy and FRP is that it would only strengthen the areas around the cracks where the epoxy is injected into. An issue may arise when the end cracks that occur during the force transfer are internal without showing external cracks through which epoxy can be injected. Even if the cracks are both external and internal, it may be difficulty to inject the epoxy such that it is fully penetrated into the internally cracked areas. If the entire cracked area is not properly filled with epoxy, it will not be as effective as what is originally intended or required. Thus, it is recommended that both epoxy and FRP be used to repair the damaged area [12].

Post-Tensioning

Another repair method for the end-region cracking of PC girders is the post-tensioning. In a study by Willis [7], a vertical post-tensioning using the turn-of-nut bolt tightening technique was applied to restore structural strength, decrease deflection, and increase the load carrying capacity of the PC girders (Figure 4) that were damaged due to web-splitting cracks during





and immediately after pre stress transfer. The results of the laboratory investigation revealed that the rods with smaller diameters (0.25in. or 6.35 mm) were not as effective and cost-effective as the larger rods (0.375in. or 9.53 mm, and 0.5in. or 12.7 mm). The vertical post-tensioning (4.3% of jacking pre stressing value) resulted in reduction of crack width and length by 55% compared to control specimens. The study also showed that, when the post-tensioning was removed after 220 days, the concrete strain increased in tension, which led to growing of cracks in width and length, indicating the threaded rods used for post-tensioning were effective for restraining the end-region crack growths of the damaged PC girders [7](Figure 4).

In recent years, the method of using CFRP rods for post-tensioning has become more popular due to their lightweight, high tensile strength, and resistance to corrosion [41]. In a typical application, CFRP rods are placed horizontally in rows on each side of the web and secured with clamp anchors followed by post-tensioning the rods using a mechanical stressing device (e.g. hydraulic jack). The ultimate load carrying capacity and the overall stiffness of a girder that is strengthened by CFRP post-tensioning increase by approximately 20% and 5%, respectively [40].

Beam-End Treatment

Hosteng et al. [43] conducted a research to investigate the resistance of various beam coating alternatives against chloride penetration through the end-region cracks of PC girders. The selection of the coating materials was made based on literature review and input from the Iowa Department of Transportation. The concrete ponding specimens were prepared and the selected coating alternatives were evaluated in accordance with AAS-HTO T259-80, chloride ion penetration test [42]. Each coating material was applied to a designated section (Figure 5) on the slab while two sections were left uncoated as controls. Test results revealed that the coated sections showed better resistance against chloride penetration of the concrete then the uncoated sections. Based on the ponding data, the best to worst performance of coating alternatives were as follows: (1) three-way tie: BASF Sonoguard, BASF Hydrozo 100, and Sikagard 62 - two coats; (2) Viking Aqua Guard Concrete Sealer; (3) Sikagard 62 - one coat; (4) TEX•COTE RAINSTOPPER 140; (5) PAULCO TE-3008-1; (6) Evercrete DPS; and (7) TEX•COTE XL 70 BRIDGE COTE with Silane[43].

Additionally, Hosteng et al. [43] investigated alternative beamend forming details that were developed to reduce exposure of the strand ends to the elements and mitigate the beam end deterioration due to corrosion. Four alternative fabrication details were developed and evaluated, including: single and double beam-end block-outs (Figure 6), individual block-out, and drilling-out of strands. Among these alternatives, the single, double and individual bar block-outs appeared to be viable beam-end fabrication alternatives in terms of constructability and effectiveness in creating void between the strand end and the vertical face of the beam end. The drilling-out method was not considered be viable due to its difficulty of drilling out the strands, especially after each strand was flush cut to the beam face. Foam was found to be a preferable material over wood and metal for creating the voids since it doesn't require chamfering the edges of the block-out and that removal of the end formwork was similar to a standard beam. (Figure 5, Figure 6).

Strand Debonding

Strand debonding is a prevention technique that can be used to reduce the prestressing force applied to the ends of PC girders. Strand debonding can bedone by wrapping a plastic sheath or plastic tube around the prestressing strands. In a PC girder, when the stress is transferred from the strands to the girder, considerable amount of stress is transferred to the ends of girders. During this process, the bending moment due to the eccentricity of prestressing force is increased, which can create a tensile stress in the top region of the cross-section. Meanwhile, debonding of strands would decrease both flexural and shear capacity of a girder, which might lead to the creation of cracks in the debonded region [45,46]. However, this crack can be mitigated or prevented through adjusting the length of debonding [44].

While numerous previous researches have shown that the strand

Figure 4. Vertical post-tensioning repair for end-region cracks of a prestressed girder; cracks enhanced for visibility [3].



Figure 5. Coating treatment application on a ponding slab [43].



Figure 6. Single Blockout formed with 1 in foam [43].



debonding method is somewhat effective in reducing cracks at the end-region of PC girders, it should be noted that it does not provide a complete solution to the issue. If debonding is excessive, it may create more problems than what it solves [44]. Debonded length, number of debonded strands, and release pattern of the prestressing strands are influential on the effectiveness of the debonding method [14, 21, 22 and 47]. In order to prevent end-region cracks induced by excessive debonding, AASHTO [52] has set a standard todesign that limits the above mentioned factors.

Summary and Concluding Remarks

While PC girders have been considered as an economical and high performance alternative in bridge construction worldwide for many years, the end-region cracks of these girders have become prevalent in recent years with the use of relatively high strength concrete, deep girders, and high prestressing force. Numerous repair and prevention techniques have been proposed and investigated in this field such as application of mortar, fiber-reinforced polymers wrapping, epoxy injection, post-tensioning, and strand debonding. This paper presented a methodical review on the current body of knowledge discussing various methods to repair and retrofit, and prevent the formation and propagation of end-region cracks in PC girders. The following remarks are drawn from this study:

The application of mortar to the end-region cracks is not a robust repair method but more of a temporary method to repair cracks and a preventive measure against corrosion.

The application of FRP wrapping around the end-region of PC girders improves the structural behavior of damaged PC girders, and helps prevent rebar corrosion.

The use of both FRP and epoxy injection is more effective than

the application of epoxy injection alone. Some studies indicated that the shear capacity of a damaged girder was fully restored with this technique.

The application of post-tensioning technique in the end-region of PC girders is an effective method to close the cracks and increase the shear capacity of the PC members with end-region cracks.

Coating treatment to the end-region of PC girders is an effective preventive alternative against chloride penetration.

Strand debonding can be somewhat effective in reducing cracks at the end-region of PC girders. However, care should be taken since it may create more problems than what it solves if debonding is excessive.

Since the cause and the severity of end-region cracks are relatively different in most cases, it is noted that in-service PC girders be inspected and carefully examined, and the ramification of any observed be studied prior to selecting any repair/prevention technique. In doing so, more realistic damage may be simulated in the experimental program; and the most effective repair/ strengthening and damage prevention system may be formulated, tested, and developed.

References

- ASCE's 2017 Infrastructure Report Card. ASCE's 2017 Infrastructure Report Card | GPA: D+. n.d., Accessed 2017 Sept.
- [2]. Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). Bridge Preservation Guide. Rep. No. FHWA-HIF-11042. US Department of Transportation. 2011.
- [3]. Willis M. Post-Tensioning to Prevent End-Region Cracks in Pretensioned Concrete Girders. 2014.
- [4]. Boulfiza M, Sakai K, Banthia N, Yoshida H. Prediction of Chloride Ions Ingress in Uncracked and Cracked Concrete." ACI Materials Journal. 2003 Jan1; 100 (1):38-48.
- [5]. Cady PD, and Weyers RE. Deterioration Rates of Concrete Bridge Decks.

Journal of Transportation Engineering. 1984 Jan; 110 (1): 34-44.

- [6]. Climent MA, de Vera G, Lopez JF, Viqueira E, Andrade C. A Test Method for Measuring Chloride Diffusion Coefficients through Nonsaturated Concrete: Part I. The Instantaneous Plane Source Diffusion Case." Cement and Concrete Research. 2002 Jul; 32 (7): 1113-1123.
- [7]. Yeager CM. Corrosion of Prestressing Strands Used to Construct Bridge Girders. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Oklahoma). 2007.
- [8]. den Uijl JA. Tensile Stresses in the Transmission Zones of Hollow Core Slabs Prestressed with Pretensioned Strands. Delft University of Technology, Department of Civil Engineering; 1983.
- [9]. Weyers RE, Prowell BD, Sprinkel MM, Vorster M. Concrete Bridge Protection, Repair, and Rehabilitation Relative to Reinforcement Corrosion: A Methods Application Manual. Contract. 1993 Oct; 100: 103.
- [10]. Freeman JC, Haller SA, Hansen DM, Weitze JA, Martini KJ. Seacoast Fortifications Preservation Manual. San Francisco, CA.: National Park Service & KEA Environmental-GGNRA. 1999.
- [11]. Emmons PH, Emmons BW. Concrete Repair and Maintenance Illustrated: Problem Analysis. Repair Strategy, Techniques RS Means Company. 1994.
- [12]. Tadros MK, Badie SS, Tuan CY. Evaluation and Repair Procedures for Precast/Prestressed Concrete Girders with Longitudinal Cracking in the Web. Transportation Research Board; 2010.
- [13]. Andrawes B, Shaw ID, hao H. Repair & Strengthening of Distressed/ Damaged Ends of Prestressed Beams with FRP Composites. Illinois Center for Transportation/Illinois Department of Transportation; 2018.
- [14]. Burgueno R, Sun Y. Effects of Debonded Strands on the Production and Performance of Prestressed Concrete Beams. 2011 Jan 14.
- [15]. Hasenkamp CJ, Badie SS, Tuan CY, Tadros MK. Sources of End Zone Cracking of Pretensioned Concrete Girders. 2008.
- [16]. Marshall WT, Mattock AH. Control of Horizontal Cracking in the Ends of Pretensioned Prestressed Concrete Girders. Portland Cement Association, Research and Development Laboratories; 1962 Oct.
- [17]. Mirza JF, Tawfik ME. End Cracking in Prestressed Members during Detensioning. 1976 Jul.
- [18]. Kannel J, French C, Stolarski H. Release Methodology of Strands to Reduce End Cracking in Pretensioned Concrete Girders. PCI Journal. 1997 Feb; 42 (1).
- [19]. Crispino ED, Cousins TE, Roberts-Wollmann CL. Anchorage Zone Design for Pretensioned Precast Bulb-T Bridge Girders in Virginia. Virginia Center for Transportation Innovation and Research. 2009.
- [20]. Tuan CY, Yehia SA, Jongpitaksseel N, Tadros MK. End Zone Reinforcement for Pretensioned Concrete Girders. PCI Journal. 2004; 49(3):68.
- [21]. Okumus P, Oliva MG. Evaluation of Crack Control Methods for End Zone Cracking in Prestressed Concrete Bridge Girders. PCI Journal. 2013 Apr 1; 58(2).
- [22]. Ross BE, Hamilton HR, Consolazio GR. Experimental Study of End Region Detailing and Shear Behavior of Concrete I-Girders. Journal of Bridge Engineering. 2014 Aug 11; 20 (6): 04014087.
- [23]. Schupack M, Suarez MG. Some Recent Corrosion Embrittlement Failures of Prestressing Systems in the United States. PCI Journal. 1982; 27(2): 38-55.
- [24]. Nutzel O, Zimmermann E, Jungwirth D. Corrosion protected tension member for use in prestressed concrete and method of installing same. United States US4878327. 1989 Nov 7.
- [25]. Garlock M, Paya-Zaforteza I, Kodur V, Gu L. Fire Hazard in Bridges: Review, Assessment and Repair Strategies. Engineering Structures. 2012 Feb 1; 35: 89-98.
- [26]. Bassetti A, Nussbaumer A, Colombi P. Repair of Riveted Bridge Members Damaged by Fatigue Using CFRP Materials. Advanced FRP Materials for Civil Structures. 2000 Oct; 19: 33-42.
- [27]. Dolan CW, Hamilton HR, Bakis CE, Nanni A. Design Recommendations for Concrete Structures Prestressed with FRP Tendons, Final Report. Department of Civil and Architectural Engineering Report DTFH61-96-C-00019, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyo., May. 2020.
- [28]. Kim YJ, Green MF, Fallis GJ. Repair of Bridge Girder Damaged by Impact Loads with Prestressed CFRP Sheets. Journal of Bridge Engineering. 2008 Jan; 13(1): 15-23.
- [29]. Ary MI, Kang TH. Shear-Strengthening of Reinforced & Prestressed Concrete Beams Using FRP: Part I—Review of Previous Research. International Journal of Concrete Structures and Materials. 2012 Mar 1; 6 (1):41-47.
- [30]. ElSafty A. The Repair of Damaged Bridge Girders with Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer" CFRP" Laminates. 2012.
- [31]. Harik IE, Peiris A. Deployment of FRP Materials in Bridges in Kentucky, USA. 2013.
- [32]. Choo CC, Peiris A, Harik IE. Repair of I-65 Expressway Bridges Using Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) Composites. 2013.

- [33]. Mohanamurthy M, Yazdani N. Flexural Strength Prediction in FRP Strengthened Concrete Bridge Girders. European Journal of Advances in Engineering and Technology. 2015; 2(3): 59-68.
- [34]. Abdel-Mohti A, Shen H. Strengthening of Corroded Reinforced SCC-RAP Members with CFRP. Fibers. 2016 Jan 22; 4(1): 3.
- [35]. Shaw I, Andrawes B. Repair of Damaged End Regions of PC Beams Using Externally Bonded FRP Shear Reinforcement. Construction and Building Materials 2017 Sep 1; 148: 184-194.
- [36]. Belarbi A, Reda M, Poudel P, Tahsiri H, Dawood M, Gencturk B. Prestressing Concrete with CFRP Composites for Sustainability and Corrosion-Free Applications. In MATEC Web of Conferences. EDP Sciences. 2018; 149.
- [37]. Frigione M, Lettieri M. Durability Issues and Challenges for Material Advancements in FRP Employed in the Construction Industry. Polymers. 2018 Mar; 10(3): 247.
- [38]. Peiris A, Sun C, Harik I. Lessons Learned from Six Different Structural Health Monitoring Systems on Highway Bridges. Journal of Low Frequency Noise, Vibration and Active Control. 2018: 1461348418815406.
- [39]. Ramseyer C, Kang TH. Post-Damage Repair of Prestressed Concrete Girders. International Journal of Concrete Structures and Materials. 2012 Sep 1; 6(3): 199-207.
- [40]. Pantelides CP, Reaveley LD, Burningham CA. Repair of Prestressed Concrete Girder Ends and Girder Collision Repair. 2010 Jan.
- [41]. Burningham CA, Pantelides CP, Reaveley LD. Prestressed Concrete Girder Repair with CFRP Post-Tensioned Rods. In 10th International Symposium on Fiber-Reinforced Polymer Reinforcement for Concrete Structures. 2011 Mar 1; 275: 1-20; FRPRCS-10, in Conjunction with the ACI Spring; 2011.
- [42]. Stanish KD, Hooton RD, Thomas MD. Testing the Chloride Penetration Resistance of Concrete: A Literature Review. United States. Federal Highway Administration; 2001 Jan 1.
- [43]. Hosteng T, Abu-Hawash A, Port G, Phares BM. Laboratory Investigation of Concrete Beam-End Treatments. 2015.
- [44]. Abdalla OA, Ramirez JA, Lee RH. Strand Debonding in Pretensioned Beams-Precast Prestressed Concrete Bridge Girders with Debonded Strands-Continuity Issues. 1993.
- [45]. Hastak M, Mirmiran A, Miller R, Shah R, Castrodale R. State of Practice for Positive Moment Connections in Prestressed Concrete Girders Made Continuous. Journal of Bridge Engineering 2003 Sep; 8(5): 267-272.
- [46]. Sousa C, Sousa H, Neves AS, Figueiras J. Numerical Evaluation of the Long-Term Behavior of Precast Continuous Bridge Decks. Journal of Bridge Engineering. 2011 Feb 15; 17(1): 89-96.
- [47]. Naji B, Ross BE. Evaluation of the AASHTO LRFD Strand Debonding Limitations in the Context of Bond-Loss Failure." Journal of Structural Integrity and Maintenance. 2007 Jan 2; 2(1): 22-28.
- [48]. Youakim SA, Karbhari VM. An Approach to Determine Long-Term Behavior of Concrete Members Prestressed with FRP Tendons. Construction and Building Materials. 2007 May 1; 21(5):1052-1060.
- [49]. Rosenboom O, Hassan TK, Rizkalla S. Flexural Behavior of Aged Prestressed Concrete Girders Strengthened with Various FRP Systems. Construction and Building Materials. 2007 Apr 1; 21(4):764-776.
- [50]. Lee YS, Wipf TJ, Phares BM, Klaiber FW. Evaluation of a Steel Girder Bridge Strengthened With CFRP Post-Tension Bars. Journal of the Transportation Research Board. 2005; 1928:233-44.
- [51]. PCI MNL-137. Bridge Repair Manual for the Evaluation and Repair of Precast, Prestressed Concrete Bridge Products. restressed Concrete Institute (PCI/CONCRT). 2006.
- [52]. AASHTO. AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications. 7th ed. American Association of State Highway and Transportation; 2014.

Submit your manuscript at http://enlivenarchive.org/submit-manuscript.php

New initiative of Enliven Archive

Apart from providing HTML, PDF versions; we also provide video version and deposit the videos in about 15 freely accessible social network sites that promote videos which in turn will aid in rapid circulation of articles published with us.